I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231, on NOV 2, 1995

PATENT

By d. hullesituet

Attorney Docket No. 016994-003122

NOV NOV 9 1995

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

An re application of:

H. DEBOER, ET AL.

Serial No.: 08/134,019

Filed: November 16, 1993

For: PRODUCTION OF RECOMBINANT

POLYPEPTIDES BY BOVINE SPECIES AND TRANSGENIC

METHODS

Examiner: J. Chambers

Art Unit: 1804

DECLARATION OF NEAL FIRST

UNDER 37 CFR §132

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

- I, Neal L. First, state as follows:
- 1. My present position is Professor of Reproductive Biology and Animal Biotechnology at the University of Wisconsin. I am a consultant for several companies including Pharming B.V., the assignee of the above-captioned application (the '019 application). A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached. I have been asked by Pharming B.V. to give my opinion of the claims to methods of generating transgenic bovines in the '019 application in view of the comments in the office action mailed May 2, 1995.
- 2. In forming my opinion, I have reviewed the '019 application, the office action mailed May 2, 1995, and pertinent references cited therein. One of these references in First, US 5,231,979 (the '979 patent), of which I am the first-named inventor.
- 3. I note that the '019 application is directed (in part) to methods of producing transgenic bovines (hereafter the Pharming methods). The methods involve the following steps: harvesting immature oocytes from bovines, culturing the immature oocytes in vitro, fertilizing the oocytes in vitro to produce

H. DOBOER, ET AL. Serial No.: 08/134,019 Page 2

zygotes, introducing a transgene into the zygotes, culturing the zygotes in vitro to form a embryo and transplanting the embryo into a female bovine. The application also exemplifies the successful use of the methods to produce a transgenic bovine.

- 4. I understand that the Examiner takes the position that the successful application of the Pharming methods to achieve a transgenic bovine would have been obvious from the '979 patent. I respectfully disagree with this position for the reasons stated below. To the contrary, I believe that the successful practice of the Pharming methods represents a substantial advance in the art whose attainment was not reasonably expected from, e.g. the '979 patent.
- 5. The Pharming methods represent a substantial advance in the art because quite surprisingly they made possible a wider range of genetic manipulations than that performed in the '979 patent. The '979 patent discusses the development of an *in vitro* culturing method that allowed production of viable bovine blastoysts. The '979 patent of First et al. is directed to generic methods for the culture and co-culture of bovine embryos.

The DeBoer et al. '019 application is not directed to a method of culturing bovine embryos per se, but rather uses the method in development of a system for producing transgenic cattle. It is the system and its successful application that is novel and inventive for cattle in the DeBoer application.

Our goal underlying the method in the '979 patent was the manipulation of blastocyst-stage embryos (as described in column 1, lines 55-60). For example, this procedure would expedite the generation of herds of genetically superior animals (particularly dairy cattle) by allowing cloning of blastocyst believed to have desirable naturally occurring characteristics. We did not perform any manipulations involving introduction of transgenes into embryonic cells. By contrast, the Pharming methods allow introduction of any transgene into a zygote leading to phenotypes

H. DOBOER, ET AL. Serial No.: 08/134,019

Page 3

not found in nature, such as a cow producing milk containing a human protein.

At the time of filing the '979 patent we did know that transgenesis was possible in mice and sheep and we thought it might some day be possible in cattle. Thus, in the '979 patent, we noted that in vitro culture might be used in genetic engineering (column 1, line 62). However, we did not describe particular transgenesis procedures (e.g., embryonic stem cells, microinjection of zygotes or infection with retroviruses) or indicate how our in vitro culture method might be adapted to be exploited in any of these procedures.

- 6. In may opinion, the successful practice of the Pharming methods could not reasonably have been expected from the method described in the '979 patent. This opinion is based, in part, on the following facts.
- (a) The scientific literature as of December 1989 (the effective filing date of the above captioned application) indicated that attempts to produce transgenic bovines up to then had proved extremely difficult, lengthy and expensive. For example, one review article reports:

Most scientists working on transgenic animals—be it for improving traits such as feed efficiency or for using them as factories for human pharmaceuticals—shy away from cattle. In the cow...you have a three-four year project. And it's a costly venture as well.

Van Brunt, Bio/Technology 6, 1149-1154 (1988) at p. 1152
As of December 1989, there were no confirmed reports in a peerreviewed scientific journal of any viable transgenic bovine calf
having been produced. The Biery, Lostkutoff and Bondioli
references mentioned in the office action discuss only attempts
which did not generate transgenic bovine calves. Although a very
low frequency of expression was obtained in early fetuses. Today
expression in embryos and early fetuses is known to often be from
non chromosome integrated DNA (Krisher et al., Animal
Biotechnology 6, 15-25 (1995); Bowen et al., Biol. Reprod. 50,
664-668 (1994)). In light of this general background of failure

H. DOBOER, ET AL. Serial No.: 08/134,019 Page 4

and frustration, most practitioners (including me) would have approached alternate methods of generating transgenic cattle with a measure of skepticism and an expectation that considerable empirical experimentation lay ahead.

- (b) There would have been a number of problems and uncertainties in trying to combine the in vitro procedure with the poorly successful bovine methods of Biery, Lostkutoff and Bondioli, in which oocyte maturation and fertilization are performed in vivo, but transgenic offspring did not result. example, it was unpredictable whether traditional microinjection procedures for in-vivo eggs could have been successfully applied without modification to in-vitro matured oocytes. The in-vitro matured oocytes might have different physiological properties (e.g., structural differences in the zona pellucida (the protein layer surrounding the oocyte, its hardening, etc.) due to the different environment in which maturation occurred; to my knowledge, this had never been thoroughly investigated in any It was also unpredictable whether the phasing of the cell cycle of in-vitro oocytes would have been different or impact on the visibility of the pronucleus and therefore on the injection protocol. Difficulty may also have related to the relative timing of microninjection and fertilization, which might not be the same for in-vivo and in-vitro matured oocytes. relative timing would have been expected to be important in development of a successful protocol, because if the DNA was injected before S phase, it might be degraded before integration, and after S phase, it could not be integrated until the two cell stage.
- (c) A further source of unpredictability was whether the block on bovine embryo development in vitro occurring at about the 8-cell stage could be overcome, and if so, with what efficiency, in the context of a transgenesis protocol. The '979 patent describes how the block on bovine embryo development could be overcome at an efficiency of about 20% by supplementing culture media with epithelial cells in the context of the cloning protocol used. This was an empirical observation; at that time, we did not understand the mechanism by which the block occurred

H. DOBOER, ET AL. Serial No.: 08/134,019

Page 5

or by which it was relieved. Thus, the cells having undergone a manipulation (i.e., microinjection) that could have a major impact on cell physiology (e.g. phasing of the cell cycle), it was unclear, whether, and to what extent, epithelial cell supplementation of culture medium would be effective in removing the cell blockage at the eight-cell stage. Today there are totally defined media culture systems effective in culturing bovine embryos to blastocyst (i.e., Rosenkrans & First, J. Animal. Sci. 72, 434-437 (1994)). This was not considered possible when the '979 patent studies were done.

- 7. In my opinion, each of the difficulties and uncertainties discussed in the previous paragraph might have required considerable experimentation to perfect or overcome. Yet the ultimate end point for the efficacy of such experimentation (i.e., the production of a viable transgenic bovine) would not have been apparent until several years later, so that practically it was not possible to vary systematically most of the parameters. These factors explain my view that the provision and demonstrated efficacy of Pharming's transgenesis methods were not a routine development.
- 8. That others hold similar views regarding the substantial and dramatic advance of the Pharming's method in a difficult and unfruitful field is illustrated by the following comments.

The commercial development of transgenic bovine technologies, however, has been frustrated because the protocols used successfully with smaller animals—which require large numbers of embryos and several surgical procedures—are prohibitively expensive when applied to cattle. The establishment of an *in vitro* embryo production system, as described by Herman de Boer and his coworkers at Gene Pharming Europe...is therefore a dramatic breakthrough in enlarging the transgenic pharm—yard.

Bialy, Biotechnology 9 (1991)

A group in the Netherlands reports in a paper in the September issue of Bio/Technology successful generation of the first transgenic dairy calf,

H. DOBOER, ET AL. Serial No.: 08/134,019 Page 6

which carries a gene for production in cow milk of human lactoferrin (HLF)...GenPharm came up with a novel integrated in vitro process for generating transgenic cattle.

Selzer, Chemical & Engineering News 69, 7 (1991)

But historically, efforts to produce transgenic dairy cows have been thwarted because of cumbersome and costly surgical procedures. Now, however, researchers from Gene Pharming Europe... have circumvented the need for surgical removal and transfer of embryos by combining gene transfer with an *in vitro* embryo production system.

Gershon, *Nature* 353, 7 (1991)

10. Finally, I wish to state for the record that the only purpose of this declaration is to assist in assessment of the patentability of the Pharming claims, and the validity and scope of the claims in the '979 patent involve different issues.

I have been duly warned that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine and imprisonment or both under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-identified patent application or any patent issuing thereon.

Nea	7 1	Γ. 1	Гi	rst

Dated

J:\16994\31-2-2.DE3